Empirical Harvest Rules

Their use in the development of advice for the SEAFO fisheries
Reminder: Some Basic Ideas

The MSY concept

From ICES (2014a)
Purpose of Harvest Rules

- **Pre-agreed** actions:
- IT this happens, THEN we do THAT
- Have a plan!
- Decisions on RULES must be taken BEFORE the need arises
  - Hot heads not good at taking decisions—Ad-hoc management feels good, but performs poorly
Managing Fisheries Sustainably: Fisheries Control Rules

MSY-Based Fisheries Control Rule used in ICES framework

A General Fisheries Control Rule compatible with Australia’s Harvest Strategy Policy
Purpose of fish stock assessment:

Where do we want (not) to be!

Where are we?

Where should we go?
Problems in Data-Poor Stocks/Fisheries

- Do not know where we are
- Do not know where we want to be....
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Fisheries Advisory Process (General)

Start Assessment Process

Consider the type and amount of information available

Analyse the information to decide the most suitable indicator for the limit and/or target Reference Points
(This will depend on the information available and the expected/current level of exploitation)

Estimate the status of the stock/fishery in the last period with available data
(calculate the value of the indicator(s))

Compare the current value of the indicator with the target and limit values

Apply Rule 2

Apply Rule 1

Calculate Recommended TAC/Catch/Effort

TAC Recommendation
Harvest control rules used for the determination of catch advice

- ICES: Simple approach (2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend of stock (or indicator)</th>
<th>No Overfishing</th>
<th>Overfishing or Unknown Exploitation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decreasing stock trend</strong></td>
<td>Reduce catch from recent level at rate of stock decrease</td>
<td>Reduce catch from recent level at rate greater than the rate of stock decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stable stock trend</strong></td>
<td>Maintain catch at recent level</td>
<td>Reduce catch from recent level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increasing stock trend</strong></td>
<td>Increase catch from recent level at rate of stock increase</td>
<td>Maintain catch at recent level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
-Alaska 6-tier system-

- **Data rich**
  - Tier 1: **Reliable** point estimates of $B$ and $B_{mS}$ and reliable pdf of $F_{mS}$
  - Tier 2: **Reliable** point estimates of $B$, $B_{mS}$, $F_{mS}$, $F_{35\%}$ and $F_{40\%}$
  - Tier 3: **Reliable** point estimates of $B$, $B_{40\%}$, $F_{35\%}$ and $F_{40\%}$
  - Tier 4: **Reliable** point estimates of $B$, $F_{35\%}$ and $F_{40\%}$

- **Data poor**
  - Tier 5: **Reliable** point estimates of $B$ and natural mortality rate $M$
  - Tier 6: **Reliable** catch history from 1978–1995
ICES 6-Category Approach (2012)

Quantitative assessment & forecast available

Yes

Assessment and forecast used for ICES MSY advice

Yes

see Data Rich methods (Cat 1)

No

see Trends Only methods (Cat 2)

No

Commercial or non-commercial data or proxies available

Yes

Survey-based assessments indicate stock trends

see Survey-Based methods (Cat 3)

Only catch data available

see Catch Only methods (Cat 4)

No

see Data Poor & Bycatch methods (Cats 5 & 6)
Empirical Harvest Control Rules (1)

• Control rules (Trigger points and actions) are not based on stock assessment results
  • Simple indicators of stock or fishery status
• Empirical HCR need a more rigid and agreed plan
• –The less reliable the indicator, the more you need a plan that is agreed by all stakeholders
Harvest rules
- ICES: Data-Rich Stocks

• If estimated stock biomass in the current year is less than Btrigger: *Method 1.1.2*:
  • Catch advice is based on the ICES MSY control rule, (F<FMSY as a linear function of biomass relative to Btrigger):
    $$F_{MSY-HCR}(2013) = F_{MSY} \left( \frac{B_{2013}}{B_{Trigger}} \right)$$
  • If a gradual transition is not appropriate because stock size is low (e.g. below Blim) and the outlook is for a further decline (e.g. as a result of low recruitment) unless fishing mortality is reduced more rapidly: *Method 1.1.3*
    • ICES may advise on a more rapid transition or application of $F_{MSY-HCR}$ as soon as possible.

• For extremely low biomass: *Method 1.2*:
  • A recovery plan and possibly zero catch are advised
ICES Control Rules

• Category 2: Stocks with analytical assessments and forecasts that are only treated qualitatively

• Harvest Control Rule:
  • If estimated biomass is greater than $B_{\text{trigger}}$: \textit{Method 2.1.1}
    • Calculate the recommended catch for next year ($C_{y+1 \text{ Calc}}$) using the same equations as in category 1
    • Apply the 20\% Uncertainty Cap: $C_{y+1} = 0.8 \times C_{y+1 \text{ Calc}}$
  • If estimated biomass is less than $B_{\text{trigger}}$
    • Catch advice is based on the ICES MSY control rule, ($F < F_{\text{MSY}}$ as a linear function of biomass relative to $B_{\text{trigger}}$):
      $$F_{\text{MSY-HCR}}(2013) = F_{\text{MSY}} \left( \frac{B_{2013}}{B_{\text{Trigger}}} \right)$$
    • Apply the 20\% Uncertainty Cap: $C_{y+1} = 0.8 \times C_{y+1 \text{ Calc}}$
ICES Control Rules

- Category 3: Stocks for which survey-based assessments indicate trends
  - Harvest Control Rule:

- If a reliable abundance index is available, apply the abundance index-adjusted, status-quo catch (a harvest control rule). If, in addition, the current value of F(), with respect to an FMSY proxy (FMSY-proxy) is known, then

- The advice is based on a comparison of the two most recent index values with the three preceding values, combined with recent catch or landings data.

1. Determine catch advice from the survey- and FMSY-transition adjusted status quo catch

   - where

   and ω is 0.6 for 2013, 0.8 for 2014, and 1.0 for 2015 according to the 2010 ICES MSY approach for fisheries advice where a stepwise transition is used to reach FMSY by 2015.

   - In cases where FSQ is close to FMSY-proxy, go to FMSY at once Fy+1 = FMSY-proxy. Note that this was not used in the 2012 advice, but it should be applied going forward.

2. Apply the 20% Uncertainty Cap to the catch advice (see above Methods; Definition of common terms and methods).
   - Apply the 20% Uncertainty Cap: Cy+1 = 0.8 x Cy+1 Calc
   - If estimated biomass is less than Btrigger
     - Catch advice is based on the ICES MSY control rule, (F<FMSY as a linear function of biomass relative to Btrigger):
       - \( F_{MSY-HCR} (2013) = F_{MSY} \left( \frac{B_{2013}}{B_{trigger}} \right) \)
       - Apply the 20% Uncertainty Cap: Cy+1 = 0.8 x Cy+1 Calc
Empirical Harvest Control Rules (3)

- ICES Data-Limited Stocks (DLS) Approach:
- Category 4: Stocks for which reliable catch data are available
  - **Assumptions**
    - Average catch has been sustainable if abundance has not changed
    - Catch advice based on MSY is only appropriate to stocks near $B_{MSY}$
    - If the MSY estimate is much greater than recent catch
      - Stock size may be less than $B_{MSY}$
      - Catch advice should increase slowly toward DCAC.
Empirical Harvest Control Rules (4)

- Category 4: Stocks for which reliable catch data are available
  - Method 4.1:
    - A sufficient catch history is available, which need not be continuous, to determine a suitable exploitation rate
      - 1) Estimate MSY
        - DCAC model;
      - 2) If Recent Catch > MSY
        - Method 4.1.1:

Where the $\omega$ is 0.6 for 2013, 0.8 for 2014, and 1.0 for 2015 according to the 2010 ICES MSY approach for fisheries advice where a stepwise transition is used to apply the 20% Uncertainty Cap to the catch advice (see above Methods; Definition of common terms and methods)

$$C_{y+1} = (1 - \omega)C_{\text{SQ}} + \omega DCAC$$
Empirical Harvest Control Rules
- ICES Data-Limited Stocks (DLS) Approach -

• Category 5: Data-poor stocks (only landings data)
  • If there is no indication of where F is relative to proxies and no marked positive trends in stock indicators: 
    Method 5.2:
    • 1) Calculate the recent catch \( C_{y-1} \) as the average catch over the 2-3 last years, e.g. 
      \[ C_{y-1} = \frac{\sum_{y-4}^{y-1} C_i}{4} \]
    • 2) Calculate the catch advice \( C_{y+1} \) as \( C_{y+1} = C_{y-1} \).
    • 3) Apply the -20% Precautionary Buffer to the catch advice
      • \( C_{y+1} = 0.8 \times C_{y-1} \)
  • If catches have declined significantly over a period of time and this is considered to be representative of a substantial reduction in biomass: 
    Method 5.3:
    • a recovery plan and possibly zero catch is advised
**Greenland Halibut (NAFO)**  
**NCEM Article 10 – Greenland Halibut**

**Harvest Control Rule (HCR) (model free)**

Indicator: Slope of Abundance Index

\[
TAC_{y+1} = \begin{cases} 
TAC_y \times (1 + \lambda_u \times \text{slope}) & \text{if } \text{slope} \geq 0 \\
TAC_y \times (1 + \lambda_d \times \text{slope}) & \text{if } \text{slope} < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

Slope: average slope of the Biomass Indicator (CPUE, Survey) in recent 5 years

- \(\lambda_u\): TAC control coefficient if slope > 0 (Stock seems to be growing) : \(\lambda_u = 1\)
- \(\lambda_d\): TAC control coefficient if slope < 0 (Stock seems to be decreasing) : \(\lambda_d = 2\)
- TAC generated by the HCR is constrained to ± 5% of the TAC in the preceding year.
Empirical Harvest Control Rules

• Australian HCR for Spanner Crab:
  • Basic elements:
    • There is a base TAC calculated from historical data
    • Maximum (Cap) TAC of 2000 tons
  • Indicators used: Trends in the commercial CPUE and the survey CPUE (Difference to Base levels)
  • Decision Rules:
    • If both indices increased more than 10% and are positive:
      • $C_{Y+1} = C_{Y-1} \times 0.5 \times \frac{l_{Obs}}{l_{Base}}$ (Max is TACCap)
    • If at least one of the indices decreased more than 10%:
      • $C_{Y+1} = C_{Y-1} \times 1.0 \times \frac{l_{Obs}}{l_{Base}}$
Empirical Harvest Control Rules (3) - Australia -

• Australian Western Deepwater Trawl Fishery

• Trigger levels for information requirement
  • Basis: Highest recorded catch (HRC)
  • Trigger 1 (catch > 0.5 HRC)
    • Exploratory analysis of catch and effort data
  • Trigger 2 (Catch > HRC)
    • Simple assessment of the fishery – Standardised CPUE + Biological data
  • Trigger 3 (Catch > 2 * HRC)
    • Targeted fishing stops until full stock assessment demonstrates that any increased catch is sustainable.